directed

Lord Vinse

A many off corporation in the Budget — as sted by the Institute of ors ("Directors urge £3bn lion in taxation", Januba — would be money misted, besides being of not to the many small busit that operate as sole s, whose cash position so been ravaged by 30 nt inflation over the last ears.

luctivity may not be hing — but in the long a trading nation it is everything. Budgetary sions for business, then, be aimed at stimulating ment in productive plant help meet demand when turn comes.

ularly in this country salise is stment by not ig adeq. te cost recovainst tax in the year of iture — the current 25 nt diminishing balance far too low.

ny budgetary conceshould be used in this improve the cash flow sting companies — both nd large.

sway, WC2B 6QT

vehicle foisted on public

From Mr Edward Rigg.

Sir, Let us not mince our words. I refer to your article, "Tied agents 'are overselling life policies" and leading article, "Life policies oversold". The big fraud (I am not mincing my words) is that they are sold at all.

Endowment policies have been demonstrably the most unsuitable of all savings vehicles ever since life assurance premium relief (LAPR) was abolished in March 1984. Nevertheless the life insurance industry has continued to foist them on the public with the aid of questionable sales techniques, and by diverting an outrageously high proportion of savers' cash to its salesmens' commissions.

From the early days of the Financial Services Act the life insurance lobby has fought and schemed to defend its practices, which can plainly be described as designed to "rip off" the public.

The only surprising feature about the rift that has now developed in the Unit Trust Association is that it did not happen earlier. The link that exists between the concept of savings and the concept of insuring one's life should have

been severed many years ago.

At long last there have been signs recently that those in high places, including Sir David Walker, the chairman of Securities and Investments Board, have come to realise this and are beginning to question the selling of such policies. Even Fimbra has begun to realise that its role in protecting the investor will be better discharged if it checks the selling of these dubious policies rather than its members' petty cash.

It cannot be stressed too heavily that life insurance policies of the savings kind, such as endowments, and most of their closely related cousins such as regular premium personal pension plans, are a most unwise choice for almost anybody, not just the 30-odd per cent who find they have to cancel them within two years.

Edward Rigg, Thornham Finance, 5 Frog Grove Lane, Wood Street, Guildford, Surrey GU3 3EY

Fax service

LETTERS may be faxed on 071-873 5938. They should be clearly typed and not hand-written. Please set fax machine for fine resolution.

erverted logic that cheerfully its the financial worst

r Gerrit Jacobsen.
CCI, Polly Peck, MaxJow the British are
g the rst from the
ninded Mr Tiny RowThe ingredients are
there: dividend cut,
rice collapse, stock
te inquiry, "foreign"
ccutive.

e Lonrho is different. week ago the FT was some analysts as say-Lonrho should cut its if it was prudent, but aers saying that they expect Mr Rowland to cause he was a "conout to prove he is diffom the rest."

veryone is up in arms is dividend cut. What perverted logic is this? isis" is caused by the industry, which has to its own psychologi-What causes a lender We have got to put on

our thinking caps to work out why he cut the dividend"? It seems that the lender has not done its homework and has no idea why it lent money in the first place.

I would not be surprised if the drop in the share price caused some of Lonrho's now alarmed lenders to withdraw some of their credit facilities. thus causing a real crisis. I hope that the FT does not become party to this mindtwisting game by quoting an increasing number of worried people. I feel very much reminded of a London storm causing little actual damage but paralysing the whole city because everyone stops work to stand by the window and cheerfully wait for something awful to happen. Gerrit Jacobsen. Baurs Park 23a, 2000 Hamburg 55, Germanu

Economy needs more effective regional policy

From Mr Gerard Lyons.

Sir, The cutback in the coal industry, following close on the announcement of the closure of the Ravenscraig steel works once again highlights this country's absence of regional and industrial policy. Yet, if the UK is committed to the ERM and at sterling's current high and overvalued rate, it is essential that the government make effective use of industrial and fiscal policy to improve the supply-side potential of the economy.

This potential will not be realised if strategic industries such as coal and steel are allowed to disappear.

Gerard Lyons, chief economist, DKB International, DKB House, 24 King William Street, London EC4R 9DB polluting

From Mr David Parker.

Sir, The balance that John Griffiths strikes ("Technology: diesel cleans up its act" January 21) between diesel and pet-rol on their "cleanness" is slightly misleading. Diesel is cleaner in some respects than present petrol-driven engines, but much of this advantage disappears from the end of this year, when all new petroldriven cars will be fitted with 3-way catalysts, reducing exhaust emissions by more than 90 per cent. Diesels emit "particulates" – a fine dust, mostly carbon, which can sometimes be seen as a smoke. This happens even when the engine is well-tuned. Diesels also produce a high level of nitrous oxides. The technology to handle these diesel emissions is progressing but so far is not nearly as well developed as that for petrol exhausts.

Conventional wisdom on diesels is that they emit much less carbon dioxide than petrol engines because they are more fuel-efficient and give better mileage. But diesel contains more carbon per litre than petrol does, and so produces more carbon dioxide when it is burned in a car's engine. This offsets much of the reduction in carbon dioxide arising from the better mileage.

the better mileage.
David Parker,
director general,
UK Petroleum
Industry Association,
9 Kingsway, London WC2

From M André.

Sir, On April 29 1991, there was in the FT an article headed: "Smoking diesels blamed as main source of pollution"; on January 22 1992 we learn ("Motor adverts criticised") that the Advertising Standards Authority upheld complaints against Peugeot Talbot for claiming that diesel engines would be environmentally "clean".

Nevertheless, in your Technology pages, we read regularly calls for more diesels; for example on September 5 1991 ("Measures to combat dieseL emissions urged") tax cuts to encourage diesel fuel were urged; or on January 21 1992 ("Diesel cleans up its act").

Assuredly diesels are somewhat cleaner when well tuned, but when are they?

M André.

M André, 38 avenue du Gal del Gaulle, F-94300 Vincennes GE (has i King New

The Insti

F.T. 28/1/92